	Case 2:21-cv-00969-KJM-KJN Docum	ent 9 Filed 10/18/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ROBERT EUGENE ROJAS,	No. 2:21-cv-0969 KJM KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	SACRAMENTO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE,	
15	Defendant.	
16	D GTGHGGHW	
17		
18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
19	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided	
20	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On August 4, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were	
22	served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and	
23	recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the	
24	findings and recommendations.	
25	Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and	
26	recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to	
27	keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service	
28	of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.  1	

## Case 2:21-cv-00969-KJM-KJN Document 9 Filed 10/18/21 Page 2 of 2

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court ..."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed August 4, 2021, are adopted in full; and
- 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See* Local Rule 183(b).

DATED: October 15, 2021.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDG